



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/810,675	03/29/2004	Hirokazu Yamagata	0756-7276	1165		
31780	7590	12/28/2007	<table border="1"><tr><td>EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td>HU, SHOUXIANG</td></tr></table>		EXAMINER	HU, SHOUXIANG
EXAMINER						
HU, SHOUXIANG						
ERIC ROBINSON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PMB 955			2811			
21010 SOUTHBANK ST.						
POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165						
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/28/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,675	YAMAGATA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shouxiang Hu	2811	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 October 2007.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 2,3,5,6,13-24,31-42,44-47 and 49-52 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17, 23, 35, 41 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 2,3,5,6,13-16,18-22,24,31-34,36-40,42,44-47 and 49-52 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/073,285.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/22/2007</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

According to previous office actions, claims 2-3, 5-6, 13-24, 31-42, 44-47 and 49-52 are pending in this application; and claims 2-3, 5-6, 13-16, 18-22, 24, 31-34, 36-40, 42, 44-47 and 49-52 remain active in this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-3, 5-6, 13-16, 18-22, 24, 31-34, 36-40, 42, 44-47 and 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA (Applicant's admitted prior art) in view of JP'781 (JP 11-224781; 08/1999; of record) and further in view of Hosokawa (US 6,538,374).

AAPA discloses a light emitting display device (Fig. 2 in the instant disclosure), comprising: a thin film transistor (202) on an insulating surface; an interlayer insulating film (203) over the thin film transistor; an anode (205; ITO) having a first portion that has a leveling surface over the interlayer insulating film and a second portion also over the interlayer insulating film; a wiring (204) electrically connected to the thin film transistor and the anode; a bank (208) over the wiring and a portion of the anode; a light-emitting

compound organic compound layer (206) over the anode and an upper surface of the bank; and a cathode (207) over the organic compound layer.

Although AAPA does not expressly disclose that the device can further include a an insulating film between the anode and the organic compound layer, JP'781 teaches to include such an insulating film in order to improve the uniformity of the light-emitting compound layer and to reduce leaking current therethrough (see the first insulating layer 109 in Fig.1), wherein the insulating film (109) can be as thin as less than 5 nm and can be formed of a polymer through coating (see paragraphs 0010-0017 and 0022-0024), which thus can be naturally regarded as an organic resin film.

In addition, it is art known that, when a patterned stack of thin films is formed, the thin films therein can be desirably formed as a stack and then patterned the thin films together to form the patterned stack, instead of forming and patterning each thin films separately, so as to simplify patterning process for the thin films and/or for better and/or cleaner surfaces/interfaces of the individual thin films by avoiding unnecessary surface exposures for the individual thin films, as further evidenced in AAPA, wherein the stack of thin films (including 207 and 206) is naturally formed and patterned together.

Therefore, it would have been obviously to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the insulating film of JP'781 into the thin film stack of AAPA, so that a light-emitting device with reduced leaking current would be obtained through a process that can reduce unnecessary patterning process and/or for better and/or cleaner surfaces/interfaces of the individual thin films in the stack. And, by incorporating the insulating film of JP'781 into the thin film stack AAPA, the insulating

film in the above collectively taught device would be naturally positioned over both of the leveling surface of the first portion and an upper surface of the band, in a manner substantially same as that of the original thin film stack in AAPA.

Furthermore, although AAPA and JP'781 does not expressly disclose that the polymer insulating film can be formed of polyamide or acrylic, it is art known that polymer resins formed of polyamide and acrylic are each commonly used in the art for forming an insulating film for desired insulating properties with desired material choice, as readily evidenced in the prior art such as Hosokawa (see col. 15, lines 60-67).

Therefore, it would also have been obviously to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further incorporate the art-known acrylic or polyamide insulating film such as that of Hosokawa into the light-emitting device collectively taught above by AAPA and JP'781, so that a light-emitting device with desired insulating properties and/or with desired material choice would be obtained, as it has been held that:

The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a *prima facie* obviousness determination in *Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945).

Regarding claims 13-14, 19-20, 31-32 and 37-38, it is noted that the average surface roughness (Ra) of the anode is an art-recognized resulted-oriented important parameter subject to routine experimentation and optimization; and that a low Ra such

as in a range of 0.85 nm or less for the anode is always desirable in the art, for further reducing any potential current leakage.

Regarding claims 15, 21, 33 and 39, it is noted that each of the cited insulating materials is commonly used in the art to form an interlayer insulating film.

Regarding claims 16, 22, 34, 40 and 44-47, it is noted that it is art-known that the bank can be formed of a hardened resist/resin film that naturally includes the recited element(s) and is naturally insulating. In fact, the bank in AAPA is formed of a resin, which would have been hardened (or hardened from a resist-like precursor) in order to remain to be sufficiently firm and stable; and it thus can be naturally regarded as a hardened resist/resin film that naturally includes the recited element(s). In addition, it is noted that any process limitations recited or implicated in these claims would not carry patentable weight in the claims drawing to a structure, because distinct structure is not necessarily produced. In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Regarding claims 49-52, it is noted that any process limitations recited or implicated in these claims about how the recited leveling surface can be formed would not carry patentable weight in the claims drawing to a structure, because distinct structure is not necessarily produced. In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on October 04, 2007, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

In this case, AAPA discloses the claimed invention of a light emitting display device, including the recited bank and the multiple-layer stack including the recited light-emitting compound organic compound layer (206; over the anode an upper surface of the bank) and the recited cathode (207; over the organic compound layer), except the recited insulating film between the anode and the light-emitting organic compound layer. JP'781 is cited to show that one of the ordinary skill in the art would readily recognize that such an insulating film can be desirably formed by coating a polymer resin insulating layer, so as to improve the uniformity of the light-emitting compound layer and to reduce leaking current therethrough. Furthermore, it is also art known that, as further evidenced in AAPA (in which the stack of thin films, including 207 and 206, is naturally formed and patterned together), a stack of multiple thin layers can be desirably formed and then patterned together to form the patterned stack, instead of forming and patterning each thin films separately, so as to simplify patterning process for the thin films and/or for better and/or cleaner surfaces/interfaces of the individual thin films by avoiding unnecessary surface exposures for the individual thin films. Accordingly, it

would be well within the ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the insulating film of JP'781 into the thin film stack of AAPA, so as to form a light-emitting device with reduced leaking current through a process that is simplified and/or reliable (for better and/or cleaner surfaces/interfaces).

As to the material choice for the insulating film in the above collectively taught device, as evidenced in Hosakawa, one of the ordinary skill in the art would also readily recognize that polymer resins formed of polyamide and acrylic are each commonly used in the art for forming an insulating film for desired insulating properties with desired material choice; and the one of ordinary skill would also readily recognize and expect that the basic insulating property would be maintained in each of such polyamide and acrylic insulating films when incorporated into the above collectively taught device so that the desired benefit of reducing the leaking current would be readily achieved with each of such materials.

Accordingly, it would also be well within the of ordinary skill in the art to chose the art-known acrylic or polyamide insulating film, such as that of Hosokawa, for the insulating film in the above collectively taught device, so as to form a light-emitting device with desired insulating properties and/or with desired material choice, because it has long been held that: The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a *prima facie* obviousness determination in *Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945).

Furthermore, in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the recited insulating film being inserted between the bank and the light-emitting organic compound layer) are not necessarily recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shouxiang Hu whose telephone number is 571-272-

1654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Gurley can be reached on 571-272-1670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SH
December 21, 2007


SHOUXIANG HU
PRIMARY EXAMINER